1 2 3 4	Colbern C. Stuart III Email: Cole.Stuart@Lexevia.com 4891 Pacific Highway Ste. 102 San Diego, CA 92110 Telephone: 858-504-0171 Facsimile: 619-231-9143 In Pro Se		
5 6 7 8 9 10	Dean Browning Webb (pro hac vice pending) Email: ricoman1968@aol.com Law Offices of Dean Browning Webb 515 E 39th St. Vancouver, WA 98663-2240 Telephone: 503-629-2176 Attorney for Plaintiffs California Coalition for Families and Children, Inc. and Lexevia, PC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CALIFORNIA COALITION FOR FAMILIES AND CHILDREN, et al., Plaintiffs, v. SAN DIEGO COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION, et al., Defendants	Case No. 13-cv-1944-CAB (BLM) Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo NOTICE OF MOTION TO STRIKE MATTER SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDNATS' MOTION TO DISMISS Date: December 19, 2013 Time: 3:30 p.m. Courtroom:4C ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED SUBJECT TO COURT APPROVAL Complaint Filed: August 20, 2013	
2425262728	TO DEFENDANTS the San Diego County Superior Court, Robert J. Trentacosta, Michael M. Roddy, Lisa Schall, Lorna A. Alksne, Christine K. Goldsmith, Jeannie Lowe, William H. McAdam, Jr., Edlene C. McKenzie, and Joel R. Wohlfeil ("DEFENDANTS"):		

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 19, 2013, at 3:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in the above-entitled Court, located at 221 West Broadway, San Diego, California, Plaintiff COLBERN C. STUART will move the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f) to strike pleadings and matter submitted in support of DEFENDANTS' Motion to Dismiss Compliant as follows:

- 1. The Request for Judicial Notice and all Exhibits thereto;
- 2. The Declaration of Kristine P. Nesthus; and
- 3. Sections of the Motion to Dismiss Complaint:
 - § IV.C (11th Amendment Immunity Affirmative Defenses);
 - § IV.D (Judicial Immunity Affirmative Defenses);
 - § IV.E (Quasi-Judicial Immunity Affirmative Defenses);
 - § IV.B ("Bucket of Mud" Attack);
 - § IV.A.1 (Corporate Plaintiffs' Capacity); and
 - § IV.F.1 (Immaterial and Impertinent Arguments Under Rules 12(c), (e),
 (f), Rule 9(b)) (II.D.2, 3 supra).

This Motion will be made on the grounds that such matter constitutes an insufficient defense, is immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.

This Motion will be based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support hereof, the Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Complaint, the Declaration of Colbern C. Stuart

Case 3:13-cv-01944-CAB-JLB Document 19 Filed 11/07/13 Page 3 of 3

1	in Support of Motion to Strike/Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Complaint, and all	
2	pleadings and papers on file with this Cou	urt.
3		D (6.11 G.1 1) 1
4		Respectfully Submitted
5	DATED: Name 1 2012	By: /s/_ Colbern C. Stuart, III
6	DATED: November 7, 2013	-
7		Colbern C. Stuart, III, President, California Coalition for Families and
8		Children
9		in Pro Se
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
2526		
27		
<i>∠ I</i>		